

1 Mark E. Merin (California Bar No. 043849)
2 LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN
3 1010 F Street, Suite 300
4 Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 443-6911
Facsimile: (916) 447-8336
E-Mail: mark@markmerin.com

5 Allen Lichtenstein (Nevada Bar No. 3992)
6 Allen Lichtenstein, Attorney at Law, Ltd.
7 3315 Russell Road, No. 222
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Telephone: (702) 433-2666
Facsimile: (702) 433-9591
E-Mail: allaw@lvcoxmail.com

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10 Clorissa D. Porter & William D. Spencer

11 ADAM PAUL LAXALT
12 Attorney General
13 LINDA C. ANDERSON
Chief Deputy Attorney General
14 Nevada Bar No. 4090
555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 486-3077
Fax: (702) 486-3871
Email: landerson@ag.nv.gov

16 Attorneys for Defendants
17 Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services,
Richard Whitely, Cody Phinney, Chelsea Szklany,
18 Joanne Malay, Leon Ravin, Leo Gallofin, and
Paul Shubert

20 ROBERT C. MCBRIDE, ESQ.
21 Nevada Bar No.: 7082
GERALD I. TAN, ESQ.
22 Nevada Bar No.: 13596
CARROLL, KELLY, TROTTER,
FRANZEN, McKENNA & PEABODY
23 8329 W. Sunset Road, Suite 260
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
Telephone No. (702) 792-5855
Facsimile No. (702) 796-5855
25 E-mail: rcmcbride@cktfmlaw.com
E-mail: gltan@cktfmlaw.com

26 Attorneys for Defendant
27 Linda J. Fuller-Brown, M.D.
f/k/a Linda J. White, M.D.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CLORISSA D. PORTER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
SOUTHERN NEVADA ADUL-
HEALTH SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02949-APG-PAL

**STIPULATION RE EXPERT DISCOVERY;
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

SOUTHERN NEVADA ADULT MENTAL
HEALTH SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

Plaintiffs Clorissa D. Porter and William D. Spencer (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), Defendants Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services, Richard Whitely, Cody Phinney, Chelsea Szklany, Joanne Malay, Leon Ravin, Leo Gallofin, and Paul Shubert (collectively, “State Defendants”), and Defendant Linda J. Fuller-Brown, M.D. f/k/a Linda J. White, M.D. (“White”) submit the following Stipulation.

CURRENT EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Pursuant to the parties' stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order, and the Court's approval thereof, the following expert discovery deadlines apply in this matter:

- Initial Disclosure of Experts: December 22, 2017
 - Rebuttal Disclosure of Experts: January 22, 2018
 - Expert Discovery Cut-Off: February 22, 2018

See ECF No. 27 at 4:11-16 (parties' discovery plan and scheduling order) & ECF No. 32 (Court's order).

BASIS FOR STIPULATED MODIFICATION OF EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Plaintiffs' operable pleading, the First Amended Complaint, was filed on January 31, 2017. *See* ECF No. 6. Defendants filed a Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss on March 13, 2017. *See* ECF No. 22. Plaintiffs filed an opposition to that motion on March 27, 2017. *See* ECF No. 24. The Court has yet to rule on that motion.

Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification on September 25, 2017. See ECF No. 38.

Defendants filed oppositions to that motion on October 9, 2017. See ECF Nos. 39 & 40. The Court has

yet to rule on that motion.

The Court's resolution of these motions could substantially affect the need and type of expert disclosures necessary. Additionally, depending on how these motions are resolved, the nature and extent of an expert's review could be substantially affected. In other words, until these pending motions are resolved, the parties will not know what type of expert disclosures are necessary and, thus, are unable to comply with the currently-applicable expert discovery deadlines.

STIPULATED AND PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Accordingly, the parties stipulate that the currently-applicable expert discovery deadlines be extended, as follows:

1. The Initial Disclosure of Experts is EXTENDED from December 22, 2017, to **March 22, 2018**.
 2. The Rebuttal Disclosure of Experts is EXTENDED from January 22, 2018, to **April 23, 2018**.
 3. The Expert Discovery Cut-Off is EXTENDED from February 22, 2018, to **May 23, 2018**.

In extending these deadlines, it is the parties' intention to provide additional time for the Court to decide the pending motions, ECF Nos. 22 (motion to dismiss) & 38 (motion for class certification). Accordingly, the parties seek the extensions without prejudice to potential future extensions, in the event that the Court has not yet decided the pending motions when the extended deadlines dates are reached.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 8, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,
LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. MERIN

/s/ Mark E. Merin

By: _____

Mark E. McNamara

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: December 8, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,
Office of the Attorney General

/s/ Linda C. Anderson

(as authorized on December 8, 2017)

By: _____

Linda C. Anderson

Attorney for State Defendants

1 Dated: December 8, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,
Carroll, Kelly, Trotter, Franzen, McKenna & Peabody

2
3 /s/ Gerald L. Tan
4 (as authorized on December 8, 2017)

5 By: _____
6 Gerald L. Tan

7 Attorney for Defendant
8 Linda J. Fuller-Brown, M.D.
9 f/k/a Linda J. White, M.D.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

22 IT IS SO ORDERED:

23
24
25 
PEGGY A. LEEN
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

27 DATED: December 15, 2017